Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Rajindra Parsad S/o Sh. Mansa ram, VPO Amroh, Tehsil Mukerian, Distt. Hoshiarpur.

... Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,O/o State Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission,
Pb, Sector-37-A, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Complaint Case No. 592 of 2021

PRESENT: Sh.Rajinder Parsad as the Appellant

Sh.Surinder Kumar, Steno for the Respondent

ORDER:

The complainant through an RTI application dated 27.01.2021 has sought information regarding the copy of the letter dated 27.03.2017 given on the date of argument and decision on the same day in regard to khasra No.1671 aiut 17 kanal of land for construction of BSNL Tower Talwara and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of State Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission, Pb Chandigarh. The complainant was not satisfied with the reply of the PIO dated 01.02.2021 after which the complainant filed a complaint in the Commission on 13.05.2021.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Hoshiarpur. The complainant claims that the PIO has not supplied the information.

As per the facts on the file the respondent had replied on ..stating that the sought information

The respondent has brought the information (copy of the order of the President, State Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission dated 27.03.2017) which is being sent to the complainant and a copy of the same is retained.

With the above, the information stands provided. No further interference is required from the Commission.

The case is **disposed of and closed.**

Chandigarh Dated: 05.01.2022

Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh Mukesh Arora, S/o Sh Puran Chand, R/o H NO-23, Upkar Nagar, Factory Area, Near Govt Press, Patiala.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o Director,
Punjab Health System Corporation,
PSEB Complex, Phase-8, Mohali.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Punjab Health System Corporation, PSEB Complex, Phase-8, Mohali.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2900 of 2021

PRESENT: None for the Appellant

Sh.Sunil Kumar, Computer Operator for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 20.08.2020 has sought information regarding a copy of rules/instructions on the basis of which a junior most officer is given additional charge of Sub-Divisional Engineer while ignoring the right of other senior officer and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of Director Punjab Health System Corporation, Mohali. The appellant was not satisfied with the reply of the PIO dated 10.09.2020 after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 24.09.2020 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Patiala. The respondent present pleaded that Sh.Sanjeev Kumar, JE was given additional charge without any financial benefits with the approval of the Hon'ble Health Minister and the information has already been sent to the appellant with a copy to the Commission.

The appellant is absent nor is represented.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed of and closed.** A copy of the reply is being sent to the appellant alongwith the order.

Chandigarh Dated: 05.01.2022

Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh Malkeet Singh S/o Sh Prem Singh, R/o No-181, Sector-2-C, Master Colony, Mandi Gobindgarh.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o Bhagwan Balmiki Mandir Committee, Gaushala Road, Mandi Gobindgarh.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Bhagwan Balmiki Mandir Committee, Gaushala Road, Mandi Gobindgarh.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No.2819 of 2021

PRESENT: None for the Appellant

Sh. Avdesh Kumar, Head Cashier for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 20.07.2020 has sought information on 11 points regarding a copy of the application submitted to the Registrar of Societies for getting registration – copies of agendas/minutes of the meeting of the committee from 2010 to 2020 – list of members of the society – a copy of receipts of donations received and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of Bhagwan Balmiki Mandir Committee, Mandi Gobindgarh. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 06.11..2020 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Patiala. The respondent present pleaded that Bhagwan Balmiki Mandir Committee is a private body neither funded directly nor indirectly by any Government and hence does not qualify under section 2 of the RTI Act.

The appellant is absent nor is represented. Since nothing has been brought on record by the appellant that Bhagwan Balmiki Mandir, Gobindgarh was established, constituted, owned, controlled or substantially financed by funds provided directly or indirectly from any government, it is clear that the Mandir does not qualify as a public authority to be covered under the RTI Act.

The case is disposed of **closed**.

Chandigarh Dated: 05.01.2022

Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh Narinder Kumar, s/o Sh Dev Raj, Village Natha Nangal, P.O Kathgarh, Tehsil Balachaur, Distt SBS Nagar.

... Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o Principal Secretary, Health & Family Welfare, Mini secretariat, Sector-9, Jan Marg, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Complaint Case No. 793 of 2021

PRESENT: None for the Appellant

None for the Respondent

ORDER:

The complainant through an RTI application dated 18.05.2021 has sought information on 09 points regarding taking corona vaccine is mandatory or voluntary – affects of not-taking corona vaccine and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of Principal Secretary, Health & Family Welfare, Pb Chandigarh. The complainant was not satisfied with the reply of the PIO dated 11.06.2021 after which the complainant filed a complaint in the Commission on 18.06.2021.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Nawanshahar. Both the parties are absent.

The Commission has received a letter from the Superintendent (RTI) O/o Director Health & Family Welfare on 15.11.2021 vide which it has been informed that the RTI application was transferred by them to PIO-Covid Cell on 04.06.2021 with the direction to provide information to the appellant.

I have gone through the RTI application and find that the application is mere questions or queries. What has been sought through the application does not fall under the definition of Information as elucidated in section 2 of the RTI Act.

The appeal is **disposed of.**

Chandigarh Dated: 05.01.2022 Sd/-(Khushwant Singh) State Information Commission

CC to PIO-Covid Cell, O/o Director-Health & Family Welfare,Pb Sector 34-A Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Charanjit Rai, S/o Sh. Hazura Singh, R/o # 2939-A, Street NO-1, Malhotra Colony, District Roopnagar.

... Appellant

Public Information Officer, O/o Civil Surgeon,

O/o Civil Surgeon Roopnagar.

First Appellate Authority, O/o Civil Surgeon, Roopnagar.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3387 of 2021

Versus

PRESENT: Sh.Deepak Negi Advocate for the Appellant

Dr. Anju, Asstt.Civil Surgeon for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 15.04.2021 has sought information regarding the report of the medical board constituted for the health of minor Meharpreeet Kaur(daughter of applicant) – documents submitted by the guardian of Meharpreet Kaur and papers received from Hon'ble High court Punjab and Haryana in the matter and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of Civil Surgeon Roopnagar. The appellant was not satisfied with the reply of the PIO dated 03.05.2021 after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 02.06.2021 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Roopnagar. As per counsel for the appellant, the information on point-1 stands provided but the PIO has not supplied the documents submitted by the guardian of the minor Meharpreet Kaur relating to point-2.

As per the respondent, a medical board was constituted and the child was called for examination on 01.04.2021. Accompanying the child was the mother who showed the prescription of the child of the PGI doctors attending on her. As per the respondent, the mother merely showed the prescription but did not submit it, hence no document is available which can be provided. The respondent has also sent a reply through email which has been taken on the file of the Commission. The counsel is also in agreement with the statement of the respondent, hence the matter is settled with the above noting.

No further interference of the Commission is required. The case is **disposed of and closed.**

Chandigarh Dated: 05.01.2022

Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh Chanderdeep Maggu, Chamber No-172, Block-C, District Court Complex, Fazilka.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o Civil Surgeon, Fazilka.

First Appellate Authority, O/o Director, Punjab Health & Family Welfare, Sector-34, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3143 of 2021

PRESENT: None for the Appellant

Dr.Sarvarinder Singh for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 21.04.2021 has sought information regarding Ayushman Bharat Scheme Fazilka, Jalalabad and Abohar relating to Govt and Private Hospitals – details of private hospitals covered under Ayushman Bharat Scheme in Fazilka from Jamn 2017 – details of treatment and operations of patients done in these hospitals – treatment charge of the patients and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of Civil Surgeon, Fazilka. The appellant was not satisfied with the information provided by the PIO vide letter dated 17.05.2021 after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 24.05.2021 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Fazilka. The appellant is absent and vide email has sought exemption. The appellant vide letter received in the Commission on 30.12.2021 has also informed that he has received the information only on point-1 but the PIO has not supplied the information on point 2 to 5.

The respondent present pleaded that information on point-1 has been provided and the information on point 2 to 5 being personal information, it cannot be provided.

I have gone through the RTI application and I am in agreement with the respondent that the information relating to point 2 to 5 is personal information as it pertains to personal medical records of individuals and it cannot be provided. Also, the appellant does not justify any public interest for disclosure of this information.

The RTI application has been suitably replied to. No further interference from the Commission is required. The case is **disposed of and closed.**

Chandigarh Dated: 05.01.2022